

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: VEHICLE TRACKING AND SECURITY
SYSTEM ('844) PATENT LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2249

TRANSFER ORDER

Before the Panel:* Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, plaintiff in a District of Minnesota declaratory judgment action, Qualcomm, Inc., moves for centralization in the District of Minnesota. This litigation currently consists of the eleven actions listed on Schedule A, which are pending in nine districts.¹

No party opposes centralization. Over 150 alleged end user infringer defendants or manufacturer/supplier plaintiffs in declaratory judgment actions support centralization in the District of Minnesota. Patentholder PJC Logistics, LLC (PJC) supports centralization in the Northern District of Texas.

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, we find that these eleven actions involve common questions of fact, and that centralization will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of the litigation. All eleven actions share facts related to the infringement or validity of U.S. Patent No. 5,223,844 ('844 patent), which is entitled "Vehicle Tracking and Security System" and relates to wireless and position location reporting technology used in fleet management applications. Centralization will eliminate duplicative discovery, prevent inconsistent pretrial rulings (particularly on claim construction issues), and conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel and the judiciary.

We are persuaded that the District of Minnesota is an appropriate transferee district for pretrial proceedings in this litigation. This district is a primary choice for transferee forum for all but one responding party. Moreover, since this readily accessible district is near the headquarters of several suppliers of allegedly infringing products, relevant documents and witnesses likely will be found there.

* Judges John G. Heyburn II, Paul J. Barbadoro and Marjorie O. Rendell did not participate in the decision of this matter.

¹ The parties have notified the Panel of three related actions pending as follows: two actions in the Northern District of Texas, and an action in the District of Minnesota. These actions and any other related actions are potential tag-along actions. See Rules 1.1(h), 7.1 and 7.2, R.P.J.P.M.L.

- 2 -

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, the actions listed on Schedule A and pending outside the District of Minnesota are transferred to the District of Minnesota and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Donovan W. Frank, for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with the actions pending in that district and listed on Schedule A.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Kathryn H. Vratil

Kathryn H. Vratil
Acting Chairman

W. Royal Furgeson, Jr.
Barbara S. Jones

Frank C. Damrell, Jr.

**IN RE: VEHICLE TRACKING AND SECURITY
SYSTEM ('844) PATENT LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2249

SCHEDULE A

District of Delaware

PJC Logistics, LLC v. A. Due Pyle Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:11-00231

Middle District of Florida

PJC Logistics, LLC v. AAA Cooper Transportation, Inc. et al., C.A. No. 3:11-00301

Northern District of Illinois

PJC Logistics, LLC v. A&R Logistics, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:11-01983

District of Minnesota

PJC Logistics, LLC v. Anderson Trucking Service, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:11-00675

Qualcomm Inc., v. PJC Logistics, LLC, C.A. No. 0:11-00865

Xata Corp. v. PJC Logistics, LLC, C.A. No. 0:11-00871

District of Nevada

PJC Logistics, LLC v. Act Transportation, et al., C.A. No. 2:11-00418

District of Oregon

PJC Logistics, LLC v. Doug Andrus Distributing LLC, et al., C.A. No. 3:11-00337

Eastern District of Texas

PJC Logistics, LLC v. Acme Truck Line, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:11-00125

Northern District of Texas

PJC Logistics, LLC v. ABF Freight Systems Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:11-00548

Eastern District of Virginia

PJC Logistics, LLC v. Averitt Express, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:11-00279